



Dynamic relationship among agriculture-renewable energyforestry and carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions: empirical evidence from GUAM countries

Elcin Vaqif Nesirov¹, Elay Calal Zeynalli¹, Mehman Ilham Karimov^{2*}

¹ Azerbaijan State Agricultural University, Ganja, Azerbaijan

² Azerbaijan Technological University, Azerbaijan State Agricultural University, Ganja, Azerbaijan

* Corresponding author E-mail: m.karimov@adau.edu.az

Article info

Received 12/2/2024; received in revised form 14/4/2024; accepted 30/4/2024 DOI: 10.6092/issn.2281-4485/19087 © 2024 The Authors.

Abstract

Nowadays with the climate change the environmental degradation has became the crucial issue in the World. This study empirically investigates the impact of agriculture value-added, forest area, and renewable energy on CO_2 emissions in GUAM union countries from 1996 to 2019. The independent variables in this study are agriculture value-added, forest, renewable energy and the dependent variable is CO_2 emissions. The statistical methods as the Panel unit root test, Pedroni and Kao panel co-integration test and OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS long-run tests were employed for the empirical part of the paper. The independent variables in this study are agriculture value-added, forest, renewable energy and the dependent variable is CO_2 emissions. The statistical methods as the Panel unit root test, Pedroni and Kao panel co-integration test and OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS long-run tests were employed for the empirical part of the paper. The outputs of the Pedroni and Kao panel co-integration test and OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS long-run tests were employed for the empirical part of the paper. The outputs of the Pedroni and Kao panel co-integration tests and OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS long-run tests were employed for the empirical part of the paper. The outputs of the Pedroni and Kao panel co-integration tests confirmed that there is a long-term relationship between the analyzed series. The findings of the OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS tests indicate a negative relationship between the analyzed variables. According to the the results of empirical analyzes it was confirmed that there is a statistically significant and negative relationship between agriculture value-added, forest, renewable energy and CO_2 emissions which means that an increase of agricultural production, forest areas and renewable energy and CO_2 emissions which means that an increase of agricultural production, forest areas and renewable energy consumption decreased the CO_2 emissions in GUAM countries for the time span 1996-2019.

Keywords

GUAM, agriculture; renewable energy; forest; sustainable; Panel unit root test; Pedroni and Kao panel co-integration test; OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS

Introduction

With a significant increase in population in the World, the countries started to increase their agricultural production to feed the population. Thus, both developed and developing countries with an increase in agricultural production started to increase the usage of fossil fuels which in turn carried out several severe environmental problems (Chandio et al., 2020). Nowadays, Global Warming is one of the most crucial problems which are faced by human beings. According to the report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climat Change (IPCC, 2021), the temperature increase due to anthropogenic activities was registered as 1.07 °C from 1850–1900 to 2010–2019. Hence, to prevent the global environmental crisis and decrease greenhouse gases (GHGs), the Kyoto protocol was signed by 150 countries (Karimov, 2019). At the current time, there

The journal is hosted and mantained by <u>ABIS-AlmaDL</u>. Works are licensed under <u>CC BY 4.0</u>

are several options for reduction of the carbon dioxide emissions: (1) Decrease carbon dioxide emissions and (2) grow the carbon dioxide absorbent. In response to option 1, the countries should increase the consumption of renewable energy in comparison with non-renewable energy. In response to option 2, to countries should increase the forest areas to decrease the carbon dioxide emissions. The reason for this is that the forest areas have a huge carbon sequestration capacity, they play a role as a natural absorbent (Chandio et al., 2020; Harris & Feriz, 2011). The GUAM countries were chosen as research objects for this study. The main goal in selecting the GUAM countries as a research object; during the literature review, the studies conducted by researchers are mostly conducted on a single country basis and there is a limited number of studies conducted using panel data analyzes on groups of countries. Additionally, the other reason for choosing the GUAM countries as a research object is the lack of research on environmental pollution in the countries included in this union.We assume that this research will make an important contribution to the current literature. Because, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first empirical study that investigates the relationship between CO₂ emissions per capita, agriculture value-added, renewable energy consumption, and forest areas in GUAM countries. The GUAM (The Organization for Democracy and Economic Development) association was established on October 10, 1997, with the signing of a joint agreement by the presidents of Georgia, Ukraine, Azerbaijan, and Moldova in Strasbourg. In the agreement, the heads

of state emphasized doing business in all areas for the growth and development of the union based on the principles of sovereignty, territorial integrity, inviolability of borders, democracy, rule of law, and respect for human rights. In April 1999, the state of Uzbekistan also became a member of the union, but its membership was suspended in 2002 and then left completely in 2005. When Uzbekistan became a member of the union, the name of the union was changed to GUUAM (Katarzyna & Agnieszka, 2017). When we take a look at Graph 1 the mixed trendlines can be observed. For instance, in the case of Ukraine, there is a continuous decrease in CO₂ emissions. Contrary to the other member of GUAM countries, in Georgia, the CO₂ emissions dropped down until 2002 and afterward start to increase until 2019. When we take a look to Azerbaijan it can bee seen that there is a fluctuation in trendline until 2019. Additionally, in the case of Moldova there is a drop in CO2 emissions until 2000 and afterward a constant significant increase until 2019 (Fig. 1). Hence, the negative effects of the energy obtained from fossil fuels on the environment and its depletion have led countries to find alternative energy sources (Gurbuz et al., 2021). Solar, wind, geothermal, and biofuel hydropower energy is considered renewable energy. When we take a glance at the renewable energy consumption of the GUAM countries we can see different trendlines. For instance, in the case of Georgia, there is a continuous decrease in renewable energy consumption. In the case of Ukraine, the usage of renewable energy grew continuously until 2019. In the case of Azerbaijan, there are fluctuations in the trendli-



Figure 1. CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) in GUAM countries from 1996 to 2019. Source: authors` own invention based on World Bank Database (https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EN.ATM.CO2E.PC)

E.V. Nesirov, E.C. Zeynalli, M.I. Karimov

DOI: 10.6092/issn.2281-4485/19087

ne but mostly renewable energy consumption was decreased in 2019. In the case of the last member of GUAM, Moldova, the renewable energy consumption constantly increased and we can observe a boom in the increase of it from 0209 (Fig. 2). In recent times, the in-

crease in the price of natural gas, especially as a result of the energy crisis that arose in the context of the recent economic-political, including military conflict between Russia and Ukraine, increases the potential of using renewable energy sources.



Figure 2. Renewable energy consumption (% of total final energy consumption) in GUAM countries from 1996 to 2019 Source: authors` invention based on World Bank Database (https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EG.FEC.RNEW.ZS?locations=GE)

Therefore, as another significant indicator, the agricultural footprint on the environment should be considered. Due to its extensive utilization of fossil-sourced energy, the agriculture sector is considered to be accountable for about 14 and 30 percent of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Ben Jebli and Ben Youssef, 2017). Furthermore, the significant greenhouse gasses from agriculture are due to the usage of fuel-powered agricultural equipment, pumping irrigation water, rearing livestock on farm premises, and applying nitrogen -

rich fertilizers (Okumuş, 2020). Another report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climat Change (IPCC, 2014) states that the CO_2 emissions which come from agriculture production might be decreased to 80% by 2030. According to Figure 3, we can observe that there is a negative trend line in all of the GUAM countries (Fig. 3). The reason why there is a decrease in agricultural production is that the Soviet Union has collapsed and after this process, the focus has changed from the agricultural sector to entrepreneurship.



Figure 3. Agriculture value-added (% of GDP) in GUAM countries from 1996 to 2018 Source: authors` invention based on World Bank Database (https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NV.AGR.TOTL.ZS)

Although economic progress benefits the community, it causes suffering to the ecosystem. Non-renewable resources, like forests, are particularly vulnerable to economic growth (Parajuli et al., 2019). The transformation of forestry areas to agricultural land is one of the major impacts of anthropogenic actions of human beings to increase CO_2 emissions. When we take a look at the trendline of the forest areas in GUAM countries, we can see that forest areas in all countries are constantly increasing by a small amount (Fig. 4).

In this study, a 3-step procedure has been tried to be applied to investigate the long-term effects of agriculture value-added, renewable energy consumption , and forest areas on CO_2 emissions. In the first step, the stationarity level of the series was tested through the Panel Unit Root test developed by Levin-Lin-Chu (Levin et al., 2002), Im-Pesaran-Shin (Im et al., 2003), and ADF- Fisher (Maddala and Wu, 1999). In the second step, the long-run relationship between the analyzed series was investigated by utilizing the panel co-integration test which was developed by Pedroni (Pedroni, 1999, 2004) and Kao (Kao, 1999). OLS, FMOLS (fully modified ordinary least squares), and DOLS (dynamic ordinary least squares) tests were utilized to estimate the long-term coefficients (Phillips & Hansen, 1990) (Stock & Watson, 1993).



Figure 4. Forest area (% of land area) in GUAM countries from 1996 to 2018 Source: authors` invention based on World Bank Database (https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/AG.LND.FRST.ZS)

Literature review

In this study, dynamic relationships between agriculture value-added, forest, renewable energy, and CO₂ emissions in GUAM union countries were empirically investigated. When the previous researches related to the subject of this study were examined, a large number of studies conducted in different countries for the different time intervals were detected. Based on the results of the empirical literature research, it was revealed that there are both positive and negative relationships between the analyzed variables depending on the object of the research, the selected time period and the applied empirical methods. Below is a summary of the results of empirical studies conducted by various researchers on this topic. In their studies, Ben Jebli and Ben Youssef (2017) examined the links

between GDP, renewable energy consumption, stratified agriculture value-added, and carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions for the five countries in North Africa for the period from 1980 to 2011. In their research, they utilized Panel Verification techniques and the Granger causality test. According to the results of the Granger causality test, there is a short-term and long-term bidirectional causality relationship between the agriculture value-added and CO_2 emissions. Therefore, it also reduces CO_2 emissions for each of the five countries that have been the subject of increased research in the long run. Another finding is that economic growth and renewable energy consumption increase CO₂ emissions. Liu et al. (2017a) examined the relationship between CO2 emissions and renewable and non-renewable energy consumption for the

selected 4 ASEAN countries (Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, and Thailand) for the span from 1970 to 2013. Pedroni and Kao co-integration tests were employed for the correlation relationship between the variables, and panel OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS methods were utilized for long-term estimates. The results show that increases in agriculture value-added and renewable energy, in the long run, he long run reduce CO_2 emissions, while non-renewable energy consumption has a positive relationship with CO_2 emissions. Moreover, the EKC hypothesis is not valid for 4 ASEAN countries over a period that is the subject of research.

Liu et al. (2017b) examined the link between agriculture, renewable and non-renewable energy, and CO_2 emissions for BRICS countries. The period of 1992-2013 was chosen as the time interval in their research. The Pedroni and Kao co-integration tests, panel OLS, FMOLS, DOLS methods, and panel VECM Granger causality test were utilized in the study. The results show that agriculture and non-renewable energy has a positive effect on CO_2 emissions, while renewable energy negatively effects emissions.

Khan et al. (2018) investigated the link between agriculture value-added, forest area, renewable energy, coal electricity, hydropower, vegetable area, and greenhouse gas emissions in Pakistan for the period 1981-2015. FMOLS and CCR tests, which take into account their long-term dynamic relationships, show that agriculture value-added, forest areas and renewable energy have negative and significant effects on greenhouse gas emissions.

Waheed et al. (2018) investigated the impact of renewable energy consumption and forest on carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions in Pakistan for the span from 1990 to 2014. In the study, the short and longterm relationships between the variables were estimated by utilizing the ARDL model. The findings of the study show that forest and renewable energy consumption has negative and significant effects on CO₂ emissions in the long term. However, agricultural production affects CO2 emissions positively and significantly in the long run. These results show that carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions can be reduced by increasing both forest area and renewable energy consumption. Therefore, forest area was found to be more effective in reducing CO_2 emissions than renewable energy consumption.

Qiao et al. (2019) examined the relationship between economic growth, agriculture, and renewable energy and carbon dioxide (\underline{CO}_2) emissions for a group of 19 G20 (Group of twenty countries) countries for a period from 1990 to 2014. As a result, they found that agriculture significantly increased CO_2 emissions in all countries, while renewable energy reduced carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions for all countries.

Parajuli et al. (2019) investigated the effect of forest, farmland, and energy consumption on CO_2 emissions using panel data for 86 different countries between 1990 and 2014. As a result, they found that forests are an important determinant in reducing CO_2 emissions. However, this result varies by region. Another result shows that with energy consumption, agricultural lands contribute positively to CO_2 emissions.

Yufang et al. (2019), investigated the impact of the forest, agriculture, and renewable energy on carbon emissions in China and India for the period from 1989 to 2015. The ARDL bound test and Granger causality analysis were employed in the study. The empirical results show that the use of forest areas and renewable energy negatively effects carbon emissions in both countries. Agriculture has a negative but non-significant impact on carbon emissions in China in both the short and long term. In India, it has been found that agriculture has a negative and significant effect on CO_2 emissions in the short term and a negative and insignificant effect in the long term.

Mehman Karimov (2020) analysed the relationship between GDP per capita, CO_2 emission, Renewable Energy Contribution (REC) and Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) regarding Turkey from 1970 to 2014. The ADF Unit Root, Philips–Perron, Johansen co-integration, and the Granger Causality tests were employed for the empirical part of the paper. According to the empirical findings, it was determined that the EKC hypothesis was not present in the Turkish economy. However, statistical data confirmed the existence of the PHH concept in the Turkish economy, implying that FDI has a detrimental influence on the Turkish economy's long-term growth.

Aydoğan and Vardar (2020), examined the relationship between economic growth, agricultural added value, renewable, and non-renewable energy consumption, and CO_2 emissions for E7 countries

utilizing annual data covering the period 1990-to 2014 in their research. Pedroni and Kao panel cointegration tests were utilized for the co-integration relationship between the series, and OLS, FMOLS (fully modified ordinary least squares), and DOLS (dynamic ordinary least squares) methods were employed to estimate the long-term coefficients. As a result, they defined that renewable energy negatively effects CO2 emissions, while agricultural added value and non-renewable energy consumption have a positive effect.

Eyuboglu and Uzar (2020), investigated the effect of agriculture and renewable energy on CO_2 emissions for seven lucky-seven countries (Malaysia, Indonesia, India, Kenya, Mexico, Colombia, and Poland) in their research for the period from 1995 to 2014. The findings show that agriculture, economic growth, and energy consumption increase CO_2 emissions, while renewable energy is an important factor in reducing CO_2 emissions.

Anwar et al. (2021), analyzed the linkage between renewable energy consumption, forest area, and carbon dioxide emissions among 33 partner economies of BRI for the time from 1986 to 2018. The cointegration and heterogeneous Granger causality tests have been employed for the empirical part of the paper. The statistical findings have revealed that the expansion in renewable energy consumption and increase in forestation will support reducing the carbon dioxide emissions among the economies of BRI.

Yasmeen et al. (2022), examined the impact of agriculture and forestry on carbon emissions at global and five regional levels from 1996 to 2015. The Cross-sectional tests, panel unit root tests, cointegration tests, Driscoll & Kraay, and fully modified ordinary least square regressions and causality tests have been utilized for the empirical part of the paper. According to the findings of the statistical test, agricultural production has a positive impact on carbon dioxide emissions, and forestry negatively effects CO2 emissions. Therefore, this indicated research that renewable energy consumption plays a vital role in improving the quality of the environment.

Table 1 summarizes the studies examining the relationship between agriculture value-added, forest, renewable energy, and CO2 emissions. In this context, agriculture value-added increases CO2 emissions in seven out of eleven studies, and

agriculture value-added reduces CO2 emissions in the remaining four studies. It was found that 5 out of 6 studies indicate that forestry decreases the CO2 emissions and the remaining 1 on the contrary increases the carbon dioxide emissions. Renewable energy consumption reduces CO2 emissions in eight out of eleven studies and it increases the CO2 emissions in the remaining 3 research. Additionally, two of the studies focused on one country, while theother ten focused on country groups.

Table 1. Researches on AGRI, FOR, REN, and CO₂ relationship Source: Authors` own invention

Authors	Countries	Period	AGRI-CO ₂	FOR-CO ₂	REN-CO ₂
B. Jebli and B.Youssef 2017	North Africa countries	1980-2011	(-)		(+)
Liu et al. (2017)	4 ASEAN countries	1970-2013	(—)		(-)
Liu et al. (2017a)	BRICS country	1992-2013	(+)		()
Khan et al. (2018)	Pakistan	1981-2015	(-)	(—)	(-)
Waheed et al. (2018)	Pakistan	1990-2014	(+)	(—)	()
Qiao et al. (2019)	G20 country	1990-2014	(+)		()
Parajuli et al. (2019)	86 different countries	1990-2014	(+)	(—)	
Peng et al. (2019)	China and India	1989-2015	(—)	(—)	()
Aydogan and Vardar (2020)	E7 countries	1990-2014	(+)		(-)
Eyuboglu and Uzar (2020)	lucky-seven country	1995-2014	(+)		()
Anwar et al., 2021	BRI countries	1986-2018		(+)	(+)
Yasmeen et al., 2022	at global and five regional levels	1996-2015	(+)	(—)	(+)

Data and Methodology

Data

The main purpose of the research is to empirically investigate the relationship between the agricultural value added, forest area, renewable energy and CO2 emissions in GUAM countries by the panel data set covering the period from 1996 to 2019. In the selection of the time period, the availability of data for GUAM countries was taken into account and the time period was limited to the years 1996-2019. The econometric model [1] considered in the study is as follows:

$$CO_{2it} = f(AGRI_{it}, FOR_{it}, REN_{it})...$$
 [1]

The analyzed series of our model (2) were transformed into logarithmic forms in order to reduce the heterogeneity of the data.

$$CO_{2it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 ln AGRI_{it} + \beta_3 ln FOR_{it} + \beta_4 ln REN_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \dots \dots$$
[2]

Where is, $\ln CO_2$ is the natural logarithm of Carbon dioxide emissions per capita, $\ln AGRI$ is the natural logorithm of agricultural value-added (% of GDP), $\ln FOR$ is the natural logorithm of forestry area (% of land area), $\ln REN$ is the natural logarithm of renewable energy consumption (% of total final energy consumption). In the model β indicates the rate of effect of independent series on the dependent

series and ε_{it} attributes to the error term.

Table 2 demonstrates the number of the variables which have been utilized in our model, abbreviation of the variables, units of the data, and the sources of the data. In order to accomplish the next step of the research the summary statistics of variables were described in the Table 3.

Variables	Abbreviation Unit		Sources	Table 2				
Carbon dioxi	on dioxide emissions CO ₂ metric tons per capita			3377 1 1	Data descripti			
Agricultural value-added Forest areas		AGRI FOR		% of GDP % of land area			World Bank Database	Source: Authors own calculations
Countries	Variables	Mean	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Std. Dev.	Average annual growth (%)	Table 3 The summary statistics of
	CO_2	1.4993	1.3463	2.6302	0.8517	0.5603	0.065	variables.
Georgia	AGRI	15.5119	12.9844	33.1524	7.8138	7.9741	-0.069	Source: Autho
Otorgia	FOR	40.1192	39.9696	40.6159	39.6788	0.3947	0.001	own calculatio
	REN	41.7095	40.3151	56.7580	28.6625	9.0000	-0.015	
	CO_2	6.5267	6.6269	8.0759	4.3470	0.8114	-0.028	
Ukraine	AGRI	10.1871	10.4662	14.4905	6.5486	2.5546	0.006	
UKraine	FOR	16.4775	16.4954	16.6704	16.2520	0.1055	0.001	
	REN	2.0652	1.6560	4.1443	0.8979	1.0186	0.098	
	CO_2	3.8059	3.7863	4.6163	3.3882	0.2732	0.002	
Azerbaijan	AGRI	10.8512	8.1193	24.9616	5.0762	6.1273	-0.066	
112CIDaijaii	FOR	11.4413	10.7723	13.7837	10.3801	1.1507	0.015	
	REN	2.7359	2.6858	4.4497	1.7389	0.7197	0.033	
$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ \text{OO}_2 \\ & & & \\ \text{AGRI} \\ & & \\ \text{FOR} \end{array}$	CO_2	1.7815	1.7087	3.9104	1.2015	0.5676	-0.032	
	AGRI	16.7664	15.4493	27.4843	8.4975	6.6213	-0.038	
	FOR	11.0010	11.1067	12.4392	9.7783	0.9387	0.013	
	REN	6.8566	5.2888	14.2737	3.6604	3.4022	0.088	
World	CO_2	0.0045	0.0046	0.0050	0.0040	0.0004	0.010	
	AGRI	4.3940	3.93429	7.5174	3.5178	0.9938	-0.037	
	FOR	31.0156	30.9837	31.4016	30.7443	0.1933	0.001	
	REN	17.5198	17.5566	18.1298	16.9083	0.3695	0.001	

According to the growth rate of CO₂ emissions, Georgia (0.065%) is above both the other three countries and the global average (0.010%). Azerbaijan (0.002%) comes in second place, but its growth rate is below the global average. The annual growth rate of CO₂ emissions in Ukraine (-0.028%) and Moldova (-0.032%) is negative. But historically, Ukraine has the highest emissions per capita (8.08 metric tons). The annual average growth rate of agriculture value-added is negative in the other three countries, excluding Ukraine (0.006%). While Georgia is the country with the largest forest areas (40.12) on average, it ranks last in terms of growth rate. Azerbaijan ranks first in terms of the annual average growth rate of forest areas (0.015%). The same is true for renewable energy consumption. Georgia has the largest consumption of renewable energy on average (41.71), while the growth rate is negative (-0.015%). Ukraine (0.098%) comes first in terms of growth rate in renewable energy consumption, followed by Moldova (0.088%) and Azerbaijan (0.033%) (See Table 3).

Panel unit root test

Firstly, in time series data applied research it should be tested whether the data has a stationary structure. Because spurious regression results when nonstationary time series are used in the research. In such a case, the results obtained from the study do not reflect the real relationship between the variables (C. Granger & Newbold, 1974). In studies utilizing panel datasets, panel unit root tests are used to determine the stationarity between the series. Levin-Lin-Chu (Levin et al., 2002), Im-Pesaran-Shin (Im et al., 2003), and ADF- Fisher (Maddala and Wu, 1999) panel unit root tests, which are first-generation unit root tests, were employed in the research. Appropriate lag length, which eliminates the problem of autocorrelation between errors, was chosen according to the Schwarz information criterion. One of the panel unit root tests, LLC (Levin et al., 2002) is one of the first tests prepared for use in panel data analysis and is used to analyze whether each group in the panel contains a unit root. Therefore, the IPS (Im et al., 2003) test is an extended version of the LLC (Levin et al., 2002) test. For all three tests, the null hypothesis states that the series has a unit root, and the alternative hypothesis states that the series is stationary at the first difference.

Panel co-integration test

Pedroni (Pedroni, 1999, 2004) and Kao (Kao, 1999) are frequently used co-integration tests for panel cointegration analyses in the literature. Both of these tests were utilized in the empirical application of the study. Pedroni's (Pedroni, 2004) co-integration test consists of seven statistics distributed over two parts of the co-integration test. The first part covers fourpanel statistics and includes v-statistics, rhostatistics, PP-statistics, and ADF-statistics and is classified as within groups. The second part includes three group statistics and these statistics include rhostatistics, PP-statistics, and ADF-statistics, and these tests are classified between groups. The null hypothesis indicates that there is no co-integration, while the alternative hypothesis indicates that there is co-integration between the variables.

The other co-integration test to be used in the study is the Kao co-integration test. Kao presented a cointegration test for panel data analysis using DF and ADF tests in 1999 (Baltagi and Kao, 2001).

As a result of determining the long-term cointegration relationship between the variables, the long-term coefficient estimation phase was started. For this, FMOLS (Full Modified Ordinary Least Square) method was developed by Pedroni (Pedroni, 2000, 2001) and DOLS (Dynamic Ordinary Least Square) method developed by Mark and Sul (Mark and Sul, 2003) was employed. These estimators follow the I(1) process to analyze the relationship between the co-integrated series. In general, although it is accepted that DOLS results, the lack of consensus on this issue is the reason for making a comparison between the results of both estimators in the study.

Empirical results and discussion

According to Granger and Newbold (Granger and Newbold, 1974), econometric analysis with nonstationary data is not reliable. Therefore, before proceeding to the regression analysis, the data to be used in the model should be stationary. To ensure stationarity, unit root tests should be run (See Table 4). The results of the unit root test showed that while each of the four variables utilized in the research was not stationary at their levels, they became stationary in I(1). This result makes it possible to apply panel co-integration analysis to the variables.

Variables –	LLC		I	PS	Fisher-ADF		
	level	1st difference	level	1st difference	level	1st difference	
CO ₂	1.854(0.96)	-5.547***(0.00)	0.725(0.76)	-5.632***(0.00)	5.689(0.682)	40.280***(0.000)	
AGRI	2.464(0.99)	-1.947**(0.02)	2.367(0.99)	-1.942**(0.02)	2.339(0.967)	16.940**(0.031)	
FOR	0.697(0.75)	-4.115***(0.00)	2.257(0.99)	-2.581***(0.00)	1.321(0.995)	22.303***(0.004)	
REN	0.011(0.50)	-6.518***(0.00)	0.721(0.76)	-3.906***(0.00)	4.871(0.771)	27.991***(0.001)	

Table 4. Panel unit root test. Source: Authors` own invention

Panel cointegration test results

As a precondition of the panel co-integration test all the variables should be non-stationary at levels, and stationary in I(1). Based on the results of the unit root tests all the variables were non-stationary at level and stationary at the first difference (Table 4). Thus, with a fulfill of the precondition of the panel co-integration test the Pedroni and Kao panel co-integration test can be run. The Pedroni and Kao pa nel co-integration test results are shown in Table 5.

	Pedroni residu	al co integration	test		Table 5
	Statistic	Prob.	Weighted Statistic	Prob.	Pedroni and Kao panel co-integration
Alternat	ive hypothesis: com	mon AR coefs. (within-dimension)		test.
Panel v-Statistic	-0.101	0.541	0.097	0.461	Source: Authors`
Panel rho-Statistic	0.370	0.644	-0.494	0.311	own invention
Panel PP-Statistic	-2.078**	0.019	-2.759***	0.003	
Panel ADF-Statistic	-2.298***	0.011	-2.758***	0.003	
Alternativ	ve hypothesis: individ	lual AR coefs. (l	between-dimension)		
Group rho-Statistic	0.406	0.658			
Group PP-Statistic	-2.571***	0.005			
Group ADF - Statistic	- 2.811***	0.003			
	Kao residual	co integration t	est		
ADF			t-Statistic	Prob.	
			-3.004***	0.001	
***, ** shows significance a	at the 1 and 5 percen	it level, respectiv	ely. Barlett kernel me	thod was	

***, ** shows significance at the 1 and 5 percent level, respectively. Barlett kernel method was utilized in Pedroni and Kao co-integration test and Bandwith width was determined by Newey-West method.

The fact that 4 out of 7 Pedroni co-integration tests indicate a statistically significant and negative relationship between analyzed series. The null hypothesis that there is no co-integration between analyzed series should be rejected and alternative hypothesis that there is a co-integration between analyzed series should be accepted. Which in turn denotes that there is a long-term co-integration between the analyzed series. Thus, this determines the existence of a long-term relationship between the independent variables in the model, such as agriculture value-added forest areas and renewable energy, and the dependent variable carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions. According to another test, the Kao co-integration test, the null hypothesis was rejected at the 1% significance level. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis (there is co-integration between the series) was accepted. In other words, the results of the Kao co-integration test also revealed the existence of a long-term relationship between the series, which supports the results of the Pedroni co-integration test. Long-term coefficient estimation results obtained with OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS estimators are presented below in Table 6.

Variables	lnAGRI	lnFOR	lnREN	\mathbb{R}^2	Adjusted R ²	Table 6 - OLS, FMOLS, and
OIS	-0.364*** -1.153***	-1.153***	-0.309***	0.025	0.020	 OLS, TWOLS, una DOLS long-run test results. Source: Authors` own invention
OLS	(0.000)	(0.012)	(0.000)	0.935	0.930	
	-0.339***	-1.103***	-0.344***	0.947	0.942	
FMOLS	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)			
DOLS	-0.596***	-1.476***	-0.350***	0.000	0.071	_
	(0.000)	(0.002)	(0.000)	0.989	0.971	
Notes: *** and	l ** denote signifi	cance at the 1% an	nd 5% levels, respe	ctively.		-
P-values are s	hown in parenthe	eses.		-		

When we examine the results of Table 6, we see that there is a negative relationship between agricultural value added, forestry and renewable energy and carbon dioxide emissions according to the results of all three tests. According to the results of the FMOLS test, a 1% increase in agricultural added value in GUAM countries reduces carbon dioxide emissions by 0.339%. This result is in line with the results of Ben Jebli and Ben Youssef (2017), Liu et al (2017), and Peng et al (2019). A 1% increase in forestry reduces carbon dioxide emissions by 1.103%. This result is similar to the research results of Khan et al. (2018), Waheed et al. (2018), Parajuli et al. (2019), Peng et al. (2019), Yasmeen et al (2022). A 1% increase in renewable energy consumption causes a 0.344% decrease in CO2 emissions. This result is line with the research results of Liu et al. (2017a), Liu et al. (2017b), Khan et al. (2018), Waheed et al. (2018), Qiao et al. (2019), Peng et al. (2019), Aydogan and Vardar (2020), and Eyuboglu and Uzar (2020).

Conclusions

The rapid growth of the world's population has increased the need for food, and in response to this, the production of agricultural products has increased greatly. However, this huge increase in agricultural production has also caused environmental pollution, which is more common in developing countries that have transitioned from a closed economy to an open economy than in developed countries. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, the economic relations formed in the former Soviet countries have stagnated, and all mechanisms designed for agriculture and agricultural industry have declined. Thus, the formation of the national economy, based on traditional and extensive development factors, far from innovative technologies, and dominated by dirty production that disrupts the ecological balance, has begun. This study examines the relationship between per capita CO2 emissions, agriculture value forest and renewable added, area energy consumption for the GUAM countries between 1996 and 2019. Pedroni and Kao panel cointegration analysis was employed in the study to investigate the existence of a relationship between these variables, and OLS, FMOLS and DOLS methods were utilized to check the existence of a long-term relationship between the analyzed variables. As a result of the Pedroni and Kao panel cointegration test used in the study, it was confirmed that there is a long-term relationship between the independent variables (Agriculture value added, renewable energy, forest areas) and the dependent variable (carbon dioxide emissions). As the next step, OLS, FMOLS, and DOLS tests were used to determine the type of relationship between the independent and dependent variables. Based on the results of these tests, it was confirmed that there is a negative relationship between the independent variables (Agriculture value added, renewable energy, forest areas) and the dependent variable (Carbon dioxide emissions). As it is known from the results of previous studies conducted by researchers, the increase of renewable energy, forest areas and agricultural production lead to reduction of carbon emissions. The reasons behind the negative impact of all three variables on CO2 emissions can be explained as follows:

High carbon sequestration capacity of forest areas is an important factor in reducing carbon emissions. Forest areas play an important role in creating a sustainable environment. Because forest areas reduce the harmful effects of climate change, and also ensure the vitality of the ecosystem. Forests are the most important carbon trap, capturing and storing 76-78% of the organic carbon in the terrestrial ecosystem. Therefore, forest ecosystems play an important role in reducing the negative effects of global warming (Haripriya, 2002).

Fossil fuels, which are non-renewable energy sources such as coal, oil, gas, etc., used in traditional agriculture, have a huge negative impact on the environment, especially to the atmosphere. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, GUAM countries, in accordance with the world trend, increased the production of renewable energy and reduced the consumption of traditional non-renewable energy sources, reducing the amount of carbon emissions in the atmosphere accordingly. In recent times, the transition to a green economy related to the fight against global warming, promoting the use of ecologically clean energy sources is one of the main factors influencing the formation of this result.

As the main reason for the negative relationship between agricultural production and carbon emissions, we can point out such factors as the transition from traditional agriculture to no-till agriculture, the reduction of fertilizer and pesticide consumption, and the replacement of nonrenewable energy consumption with renewable energy. All these listed factors increase the carbon capacity of agriculture and reduce CO_2 emissions.

The study contains important conclusions for policymakers regarding the importance of considering the impact of agriculture, forest areas and renewable energy consumption on environmental pollution in the sustainable development of GUAM countries. It is for this reason that importance should be given to the coordinated development of all three factors.

References

ANWAR M.A., NASREEN S., TİWARİ A. K. (2021) Forestation, renewable energy and environmental quality: Empirical evidence from Belt and Road Initiative economies. Journal of Environmental Management, 291, 112684. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112684</u> AYDOŠAN B., VARDAR, G. (2020) Evaluating the role of renewable energy, economic growth and agricultu-re on CO2 emission in E7 countries. International Jour-nal of Sustainable Energy, 39(4):335– 348. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2019.1686380

BALTAGİ B.H., KAO C. (2001) Nonstationary panels, cointegration in panels and dynamic panels: A survey. In Nonstationary panels, panel cointegration, and dynamic panels. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. ISBN: 978-0-76230-688-6

BEN JEBLÍ M., BEN YOUSSEF S. (2017) Renewable energy consumption and agriculture: Evidence for cointegration and Granger causality for Tunisian economy. International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology, 24(2):149–158. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2016.1196467

CHANDÍO A.A., AKRAM W., AHMAD F., AHMAD M. (2020) Dynamic relationship among agricultureenergy-forestry and carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions: Empirical evidence from China. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(27):34078–34089. <u>https://doi. org/10.1007/s11356-020-09560-z</u>

EYUBOGLU K., UZAR U. (2020) Examining the roles of renewable energy consumption and agriculture on CO2 emission in lucky-seven countries. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(36):45031–45040. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10374-2

GRANGER C., NEWBOLD P. (1974) Spurious regressions in econometrics. Journal of Econometrics, 2(2): 111–120. <u>https://econpapers.repec.org/article/</u>econom/v 3a2 3ay 3a1974 3ai 3a2 3ap 3a111-120.htm

GRANGER C.W.J. (1969) Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross-spectral Methods. Econometrica, 37(3):424–438. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/ 1912791</u>

GURBUZ I.B., NESİROV E., OZKAN G. (2021) Does agricultural value-added induce environmental degradation? Evidence from Azerbaijan. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28(18):23099–23112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-12228-3

HARIPRIYA G.S. (2002) Biomass carbon of truncated diameter classes in Indian forests. Forest Ecology and Management, 168(1): 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S03</u>78-1127(01)00729-0

IM K.S., PESARAN M., SHİN Y. (2003) Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. Journal of Econometrics, 115(1):53–74. <u>https://econpapers.repec.org/article/econ</u> <u>om/v3a115_3av_3a2003_3ai_3a1_3ap_3a53-74.htm</u>

IPCC - Intergovernmental Panel on Climat Change (2014). Working Group III contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/</u>report/ar5/wg3/

IPCC - Intergovernmental Panel on Climat Change (2021) Climate Change <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/</u>downloadsreport/IPCC_AR6_WGI_SPM_final.pdf

KAO C. (1999). Spurious regression and residual-based tests for cointegration in panel data. Journal of Econometrics, 90(1):1–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076</u> (98)00023-2

KARİMOV M. (2019) The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Trade (Export and Import) in Turkey. European Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 5(1):6–17. https://doi.org/10.26417/ejis.v5i1.p6-17

KARİMOV M. (2020) An empirical analysis of the relationship among foreign direct investment, gross domestic product, CO2 emissions, renewable energy contribution in the context of the environmental Kuznets curve and pollution haven hypothesis regarding Turkey. European Journal of Formal Sciences and Engineering, 3(2):23-42. <u>https://doi.org/10.26417/ejis.v5i1.p6-17</u>

KATARZYNA C.F., AGNİESZKA K. (2017) The Organization for Democracy and Economic Development— GUAM. Springer professional. De. <u>https://www.springer</u> professional.de/en/the-organization-for-democracy-andeconomic-development-guam/11240600

KHAN M.T.I., ALİ Q., ASHFAQ M. (2018) The nexus between greenhouse gas emission, electricity production, renewable energy and agriculture in Pakistan. Renewable Energy, 118:437–451. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.</u> 2017.11.043

LEVIN A., LIN C.F., JAMES CHU C.S. (2002) Unit root tests in panel data: Asymptotic and finite-sample properties. Journal of Econometrics, 108(1):1–24. https://econpapers.repec.org/article/eeeeconom/v_3a10 8_3ay_3a2002_3ai_3a1_3ap_3a1-24.htm

LİU X., ZHANG S., BAE J. (2017a) The impact of renewable energy and agriculture on carbon dioxide emissions: Investigating the environmental Kuznets curve in four selected ASEAN countries. Journal of Cleaner Production, 164:1239–1247. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.086</u>

LİU X., ZHANG S., BAE J. (2017b) The nexus of renewable energy-agriculture-environment in BRICS. Applied Energy, 204:489–496. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.07.077</u>

MADDALA G.S., WU S. (1999) A Comparative Study of Unit Root Tests with Panel Data and a New Simple Test. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 61(S1):631– 652. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0084.0610s1631</u>

MARK N.C., SUL D. (2003) Cointegration vector estimation by panel DOLS and long-run money demand. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 65(5):655– 680. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0084.2003.00066.x

OKUMUŞ İ. (2020). Türkiye'de Yenilenebilir Enerji Tüketimi, Tarım ve CO2 Emisyonu İlişkisi. Uluslararası Ek<u>o</u> no-mi ve Yenilik Dergisi, 21–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.209</u> <u>79/ueyd.659092</u>

PARAJULİ R., JOSHİ O., MARASENİ, T. (2019) Incor-

porating forests, agriculture, and energy consumption in the framework of the Environmental Kuznets Curve: A dynamic panel data approach. Sustainability, 11(9):2688. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11092688

PEDRONI P. (1999) Critical values for cointegration tests in heterogeneous panels with multiple regressors.18. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0084.0610s1653

PEDRONI P. (2000) Fully modified OLS for heterogeneous cointegrated panels. In Advances in Econometrics, 15:93–130. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-9053</u> (00)15004-2

PEDRONİ P. (2001) Purchasing Power Parity Tests In Cointegrated Panels. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 83(4):727–731. <u>https://econpapers.repec.org/</u> <u>article/tprrestat/v_3a83_3ay_3a2001_3ai_3a4_3ap_3a72</u> <u>7-731.htm</u>

PEDRONÍ P. (2004) Panel Cointegration: Asymptotic and Finite Sample Properties of Pooled Time Series Tests with an Application to the PPP Hypothesis (Department of Economics Working Paper No. 2004–15). Department of Economics, Williams College. <u>https://econpapers</u>.re pec.org/paper/wilwileco/2004-15.htm

PHILLIPS P.C., HANSEN B.E. (1990) Statistical inference in instrumental variables regression with processes. The Review of Economic Studies, 57(1):99–125. https://doi.org/10.2307/2297545

QİAO H., ZHENG F., JİANG H., DONG K. (2019) The greenhouse effect of the agriculture-economic growth-renewable energy nexus: Evidence from G20 countries. Science of The Total Environment, 671:722– 731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.336

STOCK J.H., WATSON M. W. (1993) A simple estimator of cointegrating vectors in higher order integrated systems. Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, 783–820. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2951763</u>

WAHEED R., CHANG D., SARWAR S., CHEN W. (2018). Forest, agriculture, renewable energy, and CO2 emission. Journal of Cleaner Production, 172:4231–4238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.287

YASMEEN R., PADDA I.U.H., YAO X., SHAH W.U.H., HAFEEZ M. (2022) Agriculture, forestry, and environmental sustainability: The role of institutions. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 24(6): 8722–8746. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01806-

YUFANG P., WEİDONG C., PENGBANG W. (2019) Examining the comprehensive effects of renewable energy, forest, and agriculture on CO2 emissions: Evidences from China and India. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 28(11A):8708–8720. https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdi rect/abstract/20219911295