



Characterization of epipedons in soils of varying parent materials under cocoa plantation

Julius Olayinka Ojetade*, Sikiru Adekoya Muda, Olubunmi Mary Faturoti, Oluwatoyosi Oyetola Oyebiyi, Adeagbo Alani Amusan

Soil Science and Land Resources Management Obafemi Awolowo University Ile-Ife, Nigeria

* Corresponding author E-mail: jojetade@oauife.edu.ng

Article info

Received 15/7/2024; received in revised form 26/7/2024; accepted 30/7/2024 DOI: 10.6092/issn.2281-4485/20026 © 2024 The Authors.

Abstract

Epipedons type and thickness affect the properties and productivity of soils. Therefore, the study was carried out at the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching and Research Farm, Nigeria, to assess the influence of vegetation on epipedon characteristics in soils of varying parent materials with a view to highlighting their salient properties for sustainable utilization of soils in the area. Three mini pits were established within each soil series supporting similar plantation. Morphological description of the soils was undertaken on the field, using the guidelines of FAO. Soil samples were taken from both the top- and sub-surface horizons of the mini pits for analyses, using standard procedures. The epipedons were fairly thick, ranging between 0-20 and 0-30 cm, brightly coloured with good internal drainage, except those of the Jago series, and varied from slightly sticky, slightly-plastic to very sticky, very plastic, suggesting higher clay content at lower depth and the ability of the soils to hold moisture for crop use after cessation of rains. The soils are devoid of gravel within the minipits. The soil's pH was low and ranged between medium acid and slightly acid while the organic matter within the epipedons was relatively moderate. Total nitrogen content varied from medium to high within the soils of Oba and Egbeda series while it varied from low to medium in Iwo and Jago series, available P in the epipedons ranged from low to medium. Exchangeable Ca was lower than the critical value established for soils in southern Nigeria while exchangeable Mg and K values were adequate. Litter production was highest (7.50 t/ha) in Egbeda soil series and least (3.57 t/ha) in Jago series. Soils of Iwo and Oba series had 4.57 t/ha and 5.50 t/ha of litter production, respectively. Tissue phosphorus of litters in Egbeda soils series was high, while that of Iwo and Oba series formed from coarse-grained granite, and hill wash medium to fine material, respectively, was low. Soils of Iwo, Oba and Jago series need supplemental application of nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium fertilizers for optimal cacao production due to their lower values than the minimum required.

Keywords

Pedology, Vegetation, Epipedon, Parent Material, Soil Characteristics

Introduction

Soil properties are influenced by a number of factors and processes. One of such properties is epipedon- a horizon that forms at or near the soil surface and in which most structure of the rock has been obliterated (Soil Survey Staff, 2014). The epipedon is usually dar-. kened by the presence of organic matter and may show evidence of eluviation. Knowledge of soils properties offers insight into their stage of development and dynamics (Rekwar and Ahmed, 2022). Therefore, proper attention should be given to the assessment of epipedons in order to maintain agricultural land at optimum level of productivity.

Measurement of changes in soil nutrient stocks over time gives an estimate of nutrient mining and aids the maintenance of cropping system for sustainable crop production. The management of vegetation growing on low-fertility tropical soils requires an understandding the nutrient cycling process. A fundamental process in phytocycling is litter fall, the major main means through which organic matter and mineral elements are transferred from plantation into the surface of soil (Vitousek and Sanford, 1986). The essence of nutrient self-sustenance mechanism in cacao agroforestory, especially in tropical agroforest regions is nutrient cycling (Hartemink, 2005). Litter assessment is important to determine the level of production and nutrient cycling in the forest ecosystem (Muoghalu e Odiwe, 2011). Studying nutrient cycling under vege-tation is necessary to evaluate the effect of tree stands to soil development and fertility. Therefore, this work was carried out to assess the impact of cacao on epipedons characteristics and highlight the salient properties of the epipedons for sustainable utilization of soils in the area, with a view to understanding the nutrients' mining pattern, their recycling and hence the fertilizer requirement to ensure sustainable growth and development of crops.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was carried out at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. The area is characterized by a bimodal rainfall pattern with average annual rainfall of about 1400 mm, a relative humidity of about 73.8% and about 6.6 hrd⁻¹ of sunshine. Temperature ranged between 27.1 and 34.4°C (Ojetade *et al.*, 2021). Four soil series were used for the study, namely: Egbeda series underlain by fine-grained biotite gneiss and

schist (Lat. $7^{\circ}56'26.9''-7^{\circ}56'40.9''N$ and Long. $4^{\circ}55'901''-4^{\circ}55'92.2''E$), Iwo series formed from coarse-grained granite (Lat. $7^{\circ}54'27.7''-7^{\circ}56'07.4''N$ and Long. $4^{\circ}54'15.5''-4^{\circ}55'86.1''E$), Oba series formed from hill wash medium to fine material (Lat. $7^{\circ}56'49.0''-7^{\circ}.56'50.4''N$ and Long. $4^{\circ}55'71.8''-4^{\circ}55'719''E$) and Jago series derived largely from alluvium, with some local colluvium (Lat. $7^{\circ}56'536''-7^{\circ}56'56.8''N$ and Long. $4^{\circ}55'68.4''E$), all cultivated to cacao (Fig. 1).

Vegetation and land use

The initial vegetation in the study area was rainforest, with trees and shrubs. However, this had given way as a result of human influence. At the time of field investigation, vegetation within the area consisted mainly of cacao (*Theobroma cacao*) with admixture of kola nut (*Cola acuminata*) and oil palm (*Elaeis* guineensis), scattered within the cacao plantation.

Field study, soil and litter sampling, and processing

Three mini pits (50 cm by 50 cm by 50 cm) were established within each soil series under cacao, representing Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago series, respectively. It has been stated that 50 cm is the effective rooting depth from which most nutrient elements are taken up by plant roots, beyond which it is merely for anchorage (Fan et al., 2016). The soils parent materials are fine-grained biotite gneiss and schist (Egbeda series), coarse-grained granite and gneiss (Iwo series), while medium to fine-grained hill wash colluvium and alluvium gave rise to the soils of Oba series. Soils of Jago series are restricted to lowlying portions of the basement complex areas of southwest Nigeria. The mini pits were described using the FAO (2006) guidelines for profile description. The morphological description of the mini pits was



Figure 1. *Map of the study area*

undertaken on the field while two soil samples (the epipedons and sub surface horizons) were taken from each mini pit to assess physical and chemical properties in the laboratory. The air-dried samples were gently crushed in ceramic mortal with pestle. The samples were sieved using a 2 mm sieve, and the fraction less than 2 mm fraction was used for analyses. The proportion of sand, silt and clay was assessed using the hydrometer method (Gee and Or, 2002) while the soil pH was determined in distilled water and 1 M KCl solution (Thomas, 1996). The content of organic carbon was determined by the chromic acid digestion method (Darrell et al., 1994) while total nitrogen was evaluated using the micro-Kjeldahl digestion method (Bremner, 1996). The available phosphorus was determined by the Bray-1 method (Kuo, 1996). The exchangeable cations were extracted using 1 M NH₄OAc solution at pH 7. Calcium and magnesium contents were evaluated with the atomic absorption spectrophotometer while potassium and sodium were determined by the flame photometer (Jones, 1998). Litter samples were taken at three locations (close to where the mini pits were established) within each soil series, using 1 m by 1 m quadrant. The litters were oven-dried at 80°C until constant weights were obtained. The weight of the oven-dried litter per quadrant was taken and averaged to estimate litter production across the soil series. The litter in each quadrant was thoroughly mixed and subsampled for the determination of some selected nutrients. The oven-dried litters were ground using a stainless-steel mill. A portion of the ground sample was digested with concentrated H₂SO₄ and 30% H₂O₂. The contents of K and Na in the tissue digest were determined with a flame photometer while that of P was determined by Vanadomolybdate method (Jackson, 1973). Descriptive and inferential statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation and correlation) were used to analyse and summarise the data gathered.

Results and Discussion

Morphological and physical properties of the soils

Morphological characteristics of the soils identified on the field and particle size distribution analysis are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The epipedons were fairly thick, ranging between 0-20 and 0-36 cm in Jago and Iwo soil series, respectively. Colours of the soils of Egbeda, Iwo and Oba were bright, ranging from red (2.5YR 4/6) to dark brown (7.5YR 3/2), indicative of good internal drainage.

The soils, other than Jago series, were well drained and situated at higher topographical site. The soils of Jago series are not as bright, the colour ranged from very dark brown (10YR 2/2) to dark reddish brown (5YR 4/2). Soils of Jago Association are situated in low topographical sites, the valley floors with seasonally high-water table (Smyth and Montgomery, 1962). Soil colours are significantly influenced by the position they occupy on the landscape, the prevalent internal drainage and moisture conditions (Gerrard 1981; Fagbami 1981, Ojetade et al., 2014, Ojetade et al., 2021). Structure of the soils varied between medium crumb and sub-angular blocky, to subangular blocky and massive within the epipedons and subsurface horizons, respectively. The epipedons were mainly friable, non-sticky and non-plastic as a result of the tree canopy on the soils which prevented direct insolation and raindrop impacts on the soils. The cacao roots also had cohesive effects on soil particles, and as such prevent loss of soil aggregates (Ojetade et al., 2014; 2021). The soils' consistence in the subsurface horizons varied between slightly sticky, slightly plastic and very sticky, very plastic, resulting from the increase in clay content with depth (Ojanuga, 1975; Amusan, 1991, Ojetade et al., 2021). It also indicates that the degree of weathering increased from the lower horizons to the surface horizon (Amusan 1991; Ojetade et al., 2021). Roots, particularly, the very fine roots, were concentrated within the epipedons and decreased with depth, irrespective of the soil series. Sand fraction constituted bulk of the particle size and more on the surface soils than the subsurface. It ranged from 68-76% (mean, $72 \pm 4\%$), 68–74% (mean, $71 \pm 3\%$), 68– 70% (mean, 69 \pm 1%) and 72–80% (mean, 76 \pm 4%) in Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. Silt fraction was almost constant across the three sampling points within the Egbeda and Iwo soil series (10% and 12%, respectively) while it ranged from 8-12% (mean, $10 \pm 2\%$) and 8–10% (mean, $8 \pm 2\%$) in Oba and Jago soil series, respectively (Table 2). Clay fraction was more in the subsurface soils than that within the epipedons, with the exception of Jago soil series where the reverse was the case. Clay content within the epipedons ranged from 14-22% (mean, 18 \pm 4%), 14–20% (mean, 17 \pm 3%), 18–24% (mean, 21 \pm 3%) and 12–18% (mean, 15 \pm 3%) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively while it ranged from 28–46% (mean, $36 \pm 9\%$), 26–44%

Soil	Mini	Depth	C 1	ç							
Series	Pit	(cm)	Colour	Structure	Consistence (moist)						
	The Epipedons										
	I 0-26		5YR 2.5/2	Sub angular blocky	Non-sticky, non-plastic, friable						
	II	0-25	2.5YR 2.5/1	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky, slightly-plastic						
D . 1 1 .	III	0-28	2.5YR 3/3	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky						
Egbeda	Sub surface horizons										
	Ι	26-50	2.5YR 3/6	Sub angular blocky	Non-sticky, non-plastic, friable						
	II	25-50	2.5YR 4/6	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky						
	III	28-50	10YR 4/8	Sub angular blocky	Very sticky						
	The Epipedons										
	I 0-30 5YR 3/2		Structureless	Non-sticky, non-plastic							
	II	0-36	7.5YR 3/2	Medium crumbs	Slightly-sticky, slightly- plastic						
wo	III	0-31	7.5YR 3/2	Sub angular blocky	Non-sticky, non-plastic						
	Sub surface horizons										
	Ι	30-50	5YR 5/8	Sub angular blocky	Non-sticky, non-plastic						
	II	36-50	7.5YR 4/4	Medium crumbs	Slightly-sticky, slightly- plastic						
	III	31-50	5YR 5/8	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky, non-plastic						
	The Epipedons										
	Ι	0-28	2.5YR 3/2	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky, slightly- plastic						
	II	0-27	5YR 2.5/2	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky, slightly- plastic						
	III	0-28	5YR 2.5/2	Sub angular blocky	Non sticky, non-plastic						
Jba	Sub surface horizons										
	Ι	28-30	5YR 4/6	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky, slightly-plastic						
	II	27-50	5YR 4/6	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky, slightly-plastic						
	III	28-50	5YR 2/2	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky, non-plastic						
	The Epipe	dons									
	I	0-26	10YR 2/2	Sub angular blocky	Non-plastic, non- sticky						
Iago	II	0-20	7.5YR 3/2	Medium crumbs	Sticky, plastic						
	III	0-26	5YR 4/2	Medium crumbs	Non-sticky, non-plastic						
0	Sub surface horizons										
	I	26-50	10YR 5/2	Massive soil	Non-plastic						
	II	20-50	7.5YR 4/4	Sub angular blocky	Sticky, plastic						
	III	26-50	5YR 4/6	Sub angular blocky	Slightly-sticky, slightly-plastic						

Table 1. Selected morphological properties

(mean, $32 \pm 10\%$), 40-46% (mean, $43 \pm 3\%$) and 12-26% (mean, $20 \pm 7\%$) in the sub surface horizons. The soils texture ranged from sandy loam within the epipedons to sandy clay loam in the subsurface horizons. The subsoil texture allows for considerable capacity to hold moisture for crop use long after stoppage of rain without the crops experiencing serious moisture stress.

Chemical properties of the soils

The soils chemical properties are presented in Table 3

The soils acidity was low. It ranged from medium acid through slightly acid to very slightly acid (Adepetu *et al.*, 2014). Specifically, the acidity of the epipedons in H₂O ranged between 5.1–6.9 (mean, 6.2 ± 0.9), 4.8–6.4 (mean, 5.8 ± 0.9), 6.1–6.7 (mean, 6.4 ± 0.3) and 5.6–6.3 (mean, 5.9 ± 0.4) while in 1 M KCl, it ranged from 5.1–6.4 (mean, 5.7 ± 0.6), 3.6–5.5 (mean, 4.8 ± 0.9), 5.1–5.6 (mean, 5.3 ± 0.3) and 4.4–5.5 (mean, 4.9 ± 0.6) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively (Table 3).

Soil Series	Mir	ni Pit	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Textural
			(cm)	(%)	(%)	(%)	Class*
		Ι	0-26	76	10	14	SL
	suc	II	0-25	68	10	22	SCL
	bedc	III	0-28	72	10	18	SL
	ipi		Min.	68	10	14	
	he I		Max.	76	10	22	
	F		Mean	72	10	18	
Fabeda —			Std. Dev.	4	0	4	
Lgoccia	s	Ι	26-50	64	8	28	SCL
	zon	II	25-50	56	10	34	CL
	noni	III	28-50	48	6	46	SC
	Ice		Min.	48	6	28	
	urfa		Max.	64	10	46	
	ubs		Mean	56	8	36	
	S		Std. Dev.	8	2	9	
		Ι	0-30	68	12	20	SCL
	SU	II	0-36	72	12	16	SL
	гора	III	0-31	74	12	14	SL
	pipe		Min.	68	12	14	
	e Ej		Max.	74	12	20	
	Th		Mean	71	12	17	
			Std. Dev.	3	0	3	
wo –		Ι	30-50	62	12	26	SI
	ons	II	36-50	48	8	20 44	SC
	zinc	III	31-50	40 66	8	26	SCL
	e he		Min	48	8	26	JCL
	rfac		Max	40 66	12	44	
	psu		Mean	59	9	32	
	Su		Std. Dev.	9	2	10	
		I	0-28	68	10	22	SCL
	s	II	0-20	68	8	24	SCL
	don	III	0-28	70	12	18	SC
	ipe		Min	68	8	18	
	Ē		Max	70	12	24	
	The		Mean	69	10	21	
			Std. Dev.	1	2	3	
Oba –		т	27.50	-	-	12	86
	suc	I II	27-50	48	10	42	SC SC
	nizc	ш	28-30	52 40	8	40	3C SC
	e hc	111	28-30 MEn	4ð 40	0	40	30
	face		Max	40 52	0 10	40	
	Insc		Maan	32	0	40	
	Sul		Std Dev	2	2	4.5	
		т			4		CT.
		1 11	0-26	76	10	14	SL
	suo	11	0-20	72	10	18	SL CT
	ped	111	0-26	80	8	12	5L
	Epi		Min.	/2	8	12	
	The		IVIAX.	0U 74	10	18	
	r.		Mean Std Dow	/0	У 1	15	
ago –		_	Sid. Dev.	4	1	3	
0	us	I	26-50	80	8	12	SL
	.izoi	II	20-50	66	12	22	SCL
	hor	III	26-50	66	8	26	SCL
	ace		Min.	66	8	12	
	surf.		Max.	80	12	26	
	šub		Mean	71	9	20	
	00		Std. Dev.	8	2	7	

Table 2

Particle size distribution *SL= sandy loam,

*SCL= sandy clay loam,

*SC= sandy clay

Soil Series	Mir	ni Pit	Depth	pl	Н	O.M	N	Avail. P	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	K+	Na ⁺	Table 3
			(cm)	(H_2O)	(KCl)	(%	6)	(mg kg ⁻¹)		(c mol	kg-1)		Chemical properties
		Ι	0-26	6.6	5.5	2.22	0.11	9.15	4.30	1.90	0.20	0.02	
	ons	II	0-25	5.1	5.1	3.63	0.18	11.34	3.00	1.19	0.40	0.03	
	pede	III	0-28	6.9	6.4	2.29	0.11	12.15	2.90	1.70	0.30	0.02	
	Epij		Min.	5.1	5.1	2.22	0.11	9.15	2.90	1.19	0.20	0.02	
	The		Max.	6.9	6.4 5.7	3.63	0.18	12.15	4.30	1.90	0.40	0.03	
	<u> </u>		Std Dev	0.2	0.6	2.71	0.14	10.88	5.40 0.78	0.37	0.30	0.02	
Egbeda -		T	26.50	5.5	4.4	0.75	0.04	0.04	2.50	1.90	0.10	0.01	
	suo	I	20-50	5.5 6.0	4.4	0.01	0.03	8.24 11.50	2.30	0.80	0.20	0.02	
	oriz	ш	23-50 28-50	5.2	5.7	1.41	0.07	12.61	2.30	0.80	0.20	0.03	
	ce h		Min.	5.2	4.4	0.61	0.03	8.24	1.90	0.80	0.20	0.02	
	ırfac		Max.	6.0	5.7	1.41	0.07	12.61	2.50	1.80	0.30	0.03	
	ıpsı		Mean	5.5	5.0	0.96	0.05	10.78	2.23	1.13	0.23	0.02	
	S		Std. Dev.	0.4	0.7	0.41	0.02	2.27	0.31	0.58	0.06	0.01	
		Ι	0-30	4.8	3.6	0.81	0.04	4.91	5.10	1.70	0.90	0.60	
	us	II	0-36	6.2	5.3	0.47	0.02	5.83	5.40	1.90	0.30	0.40	
	edo	III	0-31	6.4	5.5	0.81	0.04	7.63	4.70	1.50	0.20	0.40	
	¹ pip		Min.	4.8	3.6	0.47	0.02	4.91	4.70	1.50	0.20	0.40	
	he F		Max.	6.4	5.5	0.81	0.04	7.63	5.40	1.90	0.90	0.60	
	H		Mean	5.8	4.8	0.70	0.03	6.12	5.07	1.70	0.47	0.47	
Iwo -			Std. Dev.	0.9	0.9	0.20	0.01	1.38	0.35	0.20	0.38	0.12	
100	SU	I	30-50	6.6	5.9	0.13	0.01	3.80	3.00	1.50	0.10	0.08	
	rizo	II	36-50	5.8	4.7	0.13	0.01	5.59	5.00	1.30	0.20	0.02	
	e ho	111	31-50	5.5	4.9	0.07	0.01	7.32	4.70	1.40	0.20	0.03	
	face		Min. Mar	5.5	4./ 5.0	0.07	0.01	3.80 7.20	5.00	1.50	0.10	0.02	
	psu		Max. Meen	6.0	5.0	0.15	0.01	5.57	4 23	1.50	0.20	0.08	
	Su		Std Dev	0.6	0.7	0.03	0.00	1.76	1.08	0.10	0.06	0.03	
		I	0-28	6.5	5.3	2.69	0.13	6.34	6.10	1.80	0.30	0.20	
	IS	II	0-27	6.1	5.1	3.23	0.16	8.79	3.90	1.90	0.40	0.02	
	edor	III	0-28	6.7	5.6	2.56	0.13	3.70	3.00	2.00	0.50	0.04	
	pipe		Min.	6.1	5.1	2.56	0.13	3.70	3.00	1.80	0.30	0.02	
	le E		Max.	6.7	5.6	3.23	0.16	8.79	6.10	2.00	0.50	0.20	
	Ħ		Mean	6.4	5.3	2.83	0.14	6.28	4.33	1.90	0.40	0.09	
Oba -			Std. Dev.	0.3	0.3	0.36	0.02	2.55	1.59	0.10	0.10	0.10	
Oba	IS	Ι	28-50	5.7	4.4	0.54	0.03	3.81	2.10	1.70	0.30	0.02	
	izoi	II	27-50	5.1	5.0	1.34	0.07	3.69	1.90	1.80	0.30	0.05	
	hor	III	28-50	5.2	4.1	0.34	0.02	8.79	1.8	1.7	0.32	0.02	
	face		Mın.	5.1	4.1	0.34	0.02	3.69	1.80	1.70	0.30	0.02	
	osur		Max.	5./ 5.2	5.0	0.74	0.07	8.79	2.10	1.80	0.32	0.05	
	Suł		Std Dev	5.5 0.3	4.5	0.74	0.04	5.4 <i>5</i>	0.15	1./3	0.51	0.03	
		T	0.26	5.6	4.4	0.55	0.03	2.91	4.20	1.00	0.01	0.02	
	s	I	0-20	6.3	5.5	2.02	0.05	4.38	4.50	1.80	0.20	0.03	
	qon	11	0-26	5.8	4.8	0.61	0.10	2.85	3.00	1.10	0.1	0.03	
	ipea	111	Min.	5.6	4.4	0.61	0.03	4.54	3.00	1.5	0.2	0.04	
	ц		Max.	6.3	5.5	2.02	0.10	2.85 4 54	4.30	1.80	0.20	0.04	
	Th		Mean	5.9	4.9	1.08	0.05	3.92	3.53	1.40	0.17	0.03	
Jago			Std. Dev.	0.4	0.6	0.81	0.04	0.93	0.68	0.36	0.06	0.01	
		Ι	26-50	6.3	3.5	0.07	0.01	2 48	2.50	0.90	0.20	0.03	
	suos	II	20-50	4.3	3.2	0.13	0.01	2.40 2.08	2.90	0.80	0.10	0.04	
	ioriz	III	26-50	4.8	4.7	0.07	0.01	1.39	1.90	0.80	0.10	0.05	
	ce h		Min.	4.3	3.2	0.07	0.01	1.39	1.90	0.80	0.10	0.03	
	urfa		Max.	6.3	4.7	0.13	0.01	2.48	2.90	0.90	0.20	0.05	
	sqn		Mean	5.1	3.8	0.09	0.00	1.98	2.43	0.83	0.13	0.04	
	S		Std. Dev.	0.9	0.8	0.03	0.00	0.55	0.50	0.06	0.06	0.01	

(For the subsurface soils, pH values in H₂O ranged from 5.2–6.0 (mean, 5.5 \pm 0.4), 5.5–6.6 (mean, 6.0 \pm 0.6), 5.1–5.7 (mean, 5.3 \pm 0.3) and 4.3–6.3 (mean, 5.1 \pm 0.9) and in 1 M KCl solution, however, the values ranged from 4.7–5.9 (mean, 5.0 ± 0.7), 4.1–5.0 (mean, 4.5 \pm 0.5) and 3.2–4.7 (mean, 3.8 \pm 0.8) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. The pH was less variable within the soil series, irrespective of the depth of sampling, as the standard deviations were less than a unit within each soil series for both the epipedons and subsurface soils. This is in agreement with Akinbola et al (2006) and Ojetade et al (2016) who reported similar observation. Within the epipedons, there were positive significant correlations of organic matter (0.969) and total nitrogen (0.955), with pH (Table 4). Organic matter (OM) content within the soils was relatively high, especially within the epipedons across the various soil series investigated. Phytocycling and improved activities of soil microbes as a result of optimum aeration and moisture regimes within the epipedons could be accountable (Olayinka 2009; Ojetade et al., 2021). However, the content of OM in the soils of Iwo and Jago series was relatively low within the epipedons and subsurface horizons. The coarse/porous nature of the soils and sparse vegetal cover may be responsible. Within the epipedons, OM ranged from 2.22-3.63% (mean, 2.71 ± 0.79), 0.47-0.81% (mean, $0.70 \pm$ 0.20%, 2.56-3.23% (mean, $2.83 \pm 0.36\%$) and 0.61-2.02% (mean, $1.08 \pm 0.81\%$) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and soil Jago soil series, respectively (Table 3).

Correlation (0.986) between the OM and total nitrogen was positively highly significant (Table 4). Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. The soils' total nitrogen (T-N) content varied from medium to high within the soils series of Oba and Egbeda while it varied from low to medium in Iwo and Jago soil, according to Sobulo and Adepetu (1987) who classified total nitrogen into < 0.1%, (low), 0.1–0.2% (medium) and > 0.2% (high). Specifically, within the epipedons, T-N ranged from 0.11-0.18% (mean, 0.14 \pm 0.04%), 0.02–0.04% (mean, 0.03 \pm 0.01%), 0.13– 0.16% (mean, $0.14 \pm 0.02\%$) and 0.03-0.10 (mean, $0.05 \pm 0.04\%$) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. For the subsurface horizons, it ranged from 0.03–0.07% (mean, 0.05 \pm 0.02%) and 0.02-0.07% (mean, $0.04 \pm 0.03\%$) for Egbeda and Oba while it remained constant for Iwo and Jago soil series, respectively. Therefore, the T-N content of the Egbeda and Oba soil series would suffice for optimum cacao production. The values were higher than the minimum value of 0.09% reported as ideal for cacao production (Egbe et al., 1989). However, Iwo and Jago soil series would need additional inputs of nitrogenous fertilizer for optimum cacao production. For the subsurface horizons, OM ranged from 0.61-1.41% (mean, $0.96 \pm 0.41\%$), 0.07-0.13% (mean, 0.11 \pm 0.03%), 0.34–1.34% (mean, 0.74 \pm 0.53%) and 0.07-0.13% (mean, $0.09 \pm 0.03\%$) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. The available phosphorus (avail-P) within the epipedons ranged from 9.15–12.15 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 10.88 ± 1.55 mg/kg),

Table 4. Correlation Matrix of selected soil properties with litters

	S	6.16	C1		0.14	N	A11 TD	C-2+	N F -2+	1.7+	NT.+	Litter		Tissue	
	Sand	Sat	Clay	рп	O.M.	IN	Avail P	Ca=-	Mg	K.	INa.	Qty.	Р	K ⁺	Na ⁺
							Correlati	ion value	e (1)						
Sand															
Silt	381														
Clay	925	.000													
pН	614	481	.863												
O.M	557	464	.794	.969*											
N	557	464	.794	.955*	0.986**										
Avail P	421	115	.503	.622	.789	.789									
Ca ²⁺	518	.876	.199	318	421	421	377								
Mg ²⁺	.670	596	479	226	357	357	725	333							
K ⁺	.797	350	718	544	644	644	836	185	.940						
Na ⁺	254	.967*	124	607	634	634	363	.920	373	116					
Litter Qty.	467	050	.525	.609	.774	.774	.998**	313	770	871	302				
Tissue P	319	271	.456	.655	.821	.821	.987*	519	604	743	506	.973*			
Tissue K ⁺	867	125	.990*	.925	.871	.871	.571	.057	444	708	259	.585	.544		
Tissue Na ⁺	022	200	.106	.338	.560	.560	.911	583	560	592	423	.893	.928	.197	

* Significant correlation at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). ** Significant correlation at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

 $4.91-7.63 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ (mean, $6.12 \pm 1.38 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), 3.70-8.79 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 6.28 \pm 2.55 mg kg⁻¹) and 2.85– 4.54 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 3.92 ± 0.93 mg kg⁻¹) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. Within the epipedons, a highly positive significant correlation (0.998) existed between the available P and leave litter quantity (Table 5). For the subsurface soils, available P values ranged from 8.24-12.61 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 10.78 \pm 2.27), 3.80–7.32 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 5.57 \pm 1.76), 3.69– 8.79 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 5.43 \pm 2.91 mg kg⁻¹) and 1.39– 2.48 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 1.98 ± 0.55 mg kg⁻¹) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. The values were within the low to medium range reported by Adepetu (1990) who ranked the available P content of Nigeria soils into $< 8 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ (low), 8-20 mg kg⁻¹ (medium) and >20 mg kg-1 (high). It was only the soils of Egbeda series that had adequate available P content. The soils of Iwo, Oba and Jago series would supplemental application of phosphorus need fertilizers for optimal cacao production since the values obtained were below the critical value. Ibiremo et al (2011) reported that 10 ppm of available P would be required for optimal cacao production. The contents of exchangeable bases across the four soil series studied were relatively low. It was reported that upland soils of central western Nigeria contained low exchange capacity due to the kaolinitic nature of their clay type (Smyth and Montgomery, 1962). The concentrations of the basic cations were generally low across the soil series. These values, still, decreased further with soil depth (Table 3). This was in accordance with Sehgal et al (1972) who reported that the relative abundance of exchangeable bases on the surface soils was due to continuous recharge by mobile constituents released by the decomposition of organic materials deposits on soil surface. Exchangeable calcium contributed the most, while exchangeable sodium contributed the least, to the total exchangeable bases. Specifically, for the epipedons, the values of exchangeable calcium ranged from 2.90–4.30 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 3.40 ± 0.78 cmol kg⁻¹ ¹), 4.70–5.40 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 5.07 \pm 0.35 cmol kg⁻¹), $3.00-6.10 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ (mean, $4.33 \pm 1.59 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$) and $3.00-4.30 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ (mean, $3.53 \pm 0.68 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$), that of magnesium ranged from 1.19-1.90 cmol kg-1 (mean, 1.60 ± 0.37 cmol kg⁻¹), 1.50-1.90 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 1.70 ± 0.2 cmol kg⁻¹), 1.80-2.00 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 1.90 \pm 0.10 cmol kg⁻¹) and 1.10–1.80 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 1.4 ± 0.36 cmol kg⁻¹) while that of potassium ranged from 0.20–0.40 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 0.30 ± 0.10

cmol kg⁻¹), 0.20–0.90 (mean, 0.47 \pm 0.38 cmol kg⁻¹), $0.30-0.50 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ (mean, $0.40 \pm 0.10 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$) and 1.10–1.80 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 1.4 \pm 0.36 cmol kg⁻¹) for Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. For the subsurface horizons, the values of exchangeable calcium ranged from 1.90-2.50 cmol kg-¹ (mean, 2.23 \pm 0.31 cmol kg⁻¹), 3.00–5.00 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, $4.23 \pm 1.08 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$), $1.80-2.10 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ (mean, 1.93 ± 0.15 cmol kg⁻¹) and 1.90-2.90 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 2.43 ± 0.50 cmol kg⁻¹), that of magnesium ranged from 0.80–1.80 cmol kg^-1 (mean, 1.13 \pm 0.58 cmol kg⁻¹), 1.30–1.50 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 1.40 \pm 0.10 cmol kg⁻¹), 1.70–1.80 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 1.73 \pm 0.06 cmol kg⁻¹) and 0.80–0.90 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 0.83 \pm 0.06 cmol kg⁻¹) while that of potassium ranged from 0.20-0.30 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 0.23 \pm 0.06 cmol kg⁻¹), 0.20– 0.17 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 0.17 \pm 0.06 cmol kg⁻¹), 0.30– 0.32 cmol kg⁻¹ (mean, 0.31 \pm 0.01 cmol kg⁻¹) and $0.10-0.20 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1} \text{ (mean, } 0.13 \pm 0.06 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1} \text{) for}$ Egbeda, Iwo, Oba and Jago soil series, respectively. The values for exchangeable calcium were below the critical value of 5.0 cmolkg-1 soil for optimal cacao production (Ipinmoroti et al., 2014). Therefore, application of calcium-containing fertilizer would be required for optimal cacao yields. The exchangeable Mg content was higher than 0.8 cmol kg⁻¹ soil which is the minimum required for optimal cacao production (Ipinmoroti et al., 2009). Potassium content of the soils was above the critical level of 0.03 cmolkg⁻¹ for optimal cacao production (Aikpokpodion, 2010). Therefore, potassium fertilizer supplement would not be necessary for the soils for optimal cacao yields. The values of exchangeable sodium were generally low across the plantations, less than 1 cmolkg⁻¹, the threshold above which it may become harmful to plants (Uwitonze et al., 2016). However, the essentiality of sodium in plant nutrition has not been established.

Litter production and nutrient uptake within the plantation

Table 5 shows the quantity of litters produced and nutrient content of the litters across the soil series. Litter production on Egbeda soil series was highest while that on Jago series was least. This could have resulted from higher clay content in Egbeda soil series which allowed for moisture retention longer after the stoppage of rains, and favourable moisture/aeration regime while the sandy soils of Jago series, located at lower topographic position with the attendant higher water table which might have prevented ade-

quate aeration by the plant roots. Litter production across the sites fallows the sequence: Egbeda> Oba> Iwo >Jago with 6.00–8.50 t ha⁻¹ (mean, 7.50 \pm 1.32 t ha⁻¹), 4.00–7.00 t ha⁻¹ (mean, 5.50 \pm 3.51 t ha⁻¹), 4.00– 5.50 t ha⁻¹ (mean, 4.57 \pm 0.81 t ha⁻¹) and 2.00–4.50 t ha⁻¹ (mean, 3.57 \pm 1.37 t ha⁻¹) (Table 5). The variation among the three sample locations within each soil series was least in the soils of Iwo series with standard deviation of 0.81. Variation was highest in Oba series with standard deviations of 3.51, followed by Egbeda and Jago series with deviations from the means of 1.32 and 1.37, respectively. The highest standard deviation was observed in Oba soil series. They occupied lower slope portion which encouraged movement of litter materials into and out of the location. Positive significant correlation (0.990) existed between clay and tissue K. Tissue phosphorus of cacao litter across the soil series was substantially high (Table 5). It ranged between 150.50 and 236.18 mg kg⁻¹, the soils of Egbeda series having the highest. Incidentally, the soils of Egbeda series also had the highest soil available P for both the surface horizons $\{9.15-12.15 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ (mean, } 10.88 \pm 1.55 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})\}$ and sub surface horizons $\{8.24-12.61 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ (mean, } 10.78 \pm 2.27 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})\}$ (Table 3). This was followed by those of Oba, Iwo and Jago series with 168.50– 215.60 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 189.10 \pm 24.10 mg kg⁻¹), $153.82-171.49 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ (mean, } 163.64 \pm 9.00 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ and 150.50–174.40 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 162.50 \pm 11.95 mg kg⁻¹). Positive significant correlation (0.973) existed

0.11.0	2	Litter	Tissue							
Soil Series	Sample	Quantity	Р	K^+	Na ⁺					
	Number	(mg kg ⁻¹)								
	Ι	8.50	221.47	7.60	1.00					
	II	6.00	236.18	5.69	1.67					
	III	8.00	227.35	7.60	1.00					
Egbeda	Min.	6.00	221.47	5.69	1.00					
	Max.	8.50	236.18	7.60	1.67					
	Mean	7.50	228.33	6.96	1.22					
	Std. Dev.	1.32	7.40	1.10	0.39					
	Ι	4.20	153.82	6.64	0.66					
	II	5.50	165.60	4.25	0.33					
	III	4.00	171.49	5.68	0.70					
Iwo	Min.	4.00	153.82	4.25	0.33					
	Max.	5.50	171.49	6.64	0.70					
	Mean	4.57	163.64	5.52	0.56					
	Std. Dev.	0.81	9.00	1.20	0.20					
	Ι	7.00	183.20	7.12	0.67					
	II	4.00	215.60	6,64	0.67					
	III	4.50	168.50	10.5	0.67					
Oba	Min.	4.00	168.50	7.12	0.67					
	Max.	7.00	215.60	10.50	0.67					
	Mean	5.50	189.10	8.81	0.67					
	Std. Dev.	3.51	24.10	5.36	0.00					
	Ι	2.00	174.4	4.73	0.66					
	II	4.20	162.6	4.25	0.66					
T	III	4.50	150.9	5.20	0.33					
Jago	Min.	2.00	150.50	4.25	0.33					
	Max.	4.50	174.40	5.20	0.66					
	Mean	3.57	162.50	4.73	0.55					
	Std. Dev.	1.37	11.95	0.48	0.19					

Table 5

Litter production and nutrient content by cacao on different soil series

between tissue P and litter quantity within the epipedons (Table 4). The soils of Oba series had the plant with the highest tissue K (7.12-10.50 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 8.8 ± 5.36 mg kg⁻¹). This was followed by the soils of Egbeda, Iwo and Jago series with 5.69-7.60 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, 6.96 ± 1.10 mg kg⁻¹), 4.25-6.64 mg kg⁻¹ ¹ (mean, 5.52±1.20 mg kg⁻¹) and 4.25–5.20 mg kg⁻¹ (mean, $4.73 \pm 0.48 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). However, the lowest standard deviation was observed in the tissue K for the soils of the Jago series (0.48) followed by Egbeda, Iwo and Oba series with standard deviations of 1.10, 1.20 and 5.36, respectively. The tissue sodium was generally low across the soil series. The epipedons are too thin, too light and contained lower organic matter content to qualify as histic, mollic or melanic epipedon. They would, therefore, classify as ochric epipedons based on these features (USDA Soil Survey Staff, 1999).

Conclusions

The study examined the influence of cacao plantation on the epipedons' characteristics and highlighted their salient properties. The epipedons were fairly thick, brightly coloured and well drained, with good internal drainage. The subsurface horizons varied from slightly sticky, slightly-plastic to very sticky and very plastic. Clay content was more in the subsoils, indicating the soils' capacity to hold moisture for crop use after stoppage of rain without experiencing moisture stress. The soils are devoid of gravel within 50-cm of the surface. The soils acidity was low. It ranged between medium acid and slightly acid. Organic matter content was relatively high. The soils' total nitrogen content varied from medium to high within Egbeda and Oba soil series while it varied from low to medium in Iwo and Jago series, available phosphorus for the surface soils ranged low to medium. exchangeable Ca was low, while Mg and K values were adequate for optimal cacao production. Litter production within the plantations was fairly high. Tissue phosphorus of cacao across the plantations was substantially high. The soils of Iwo, Oba and Jago series would need supplemental application of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers for optimal cacao production.

References

ADEPETU J.A. (1990). Soil-test data interpretation in soiltesting programme. Paper presented at National Workshop on soil testing service for efficient fertilizer use in Nigeria. Moor Plantation, Ibadan. ADEPETU, J.A., ADETUNJI M.T., IGE D.V. (2014) Soil fertility and crop nutrition. Jumak Publishers, Ibadan p108. ISBN: 978-978-944-218-8.

AIKPOKPODION P.E. (2010) Nutrients dynamics in cocoa soils, leaf and beans in Ondo State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Science 1(1):1–9. <u>http://doi.org/10.1080/09766898.2010.11884647.</u>

AKAMIGBO F.O.R. (2010) The role of Soil Science in combating environmental and climate change hazards. A solicited paper delivered at Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Umahia, Abia State, Nigeria on 4/02/2010.

AKINBOLA G.E., OJETADE J.O., OLALEYE A.O. (2006) Variability of Soil Properties Along Two Toposequences on Basement Complex in Southwestern Nigeria. Discovery and Innovation18(1): 44–52. <u>http://doi.org/10.4314/dai.v18i1.15725</u>

AMUSAN A.A. (1991) Pedogenesis in granitic gneiss of humid tropical Southwestern Nigeria. Ph. D Thesis. Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

BREMNER J.M. (1996) Total Nitrogen. In: Sparks D.L. (ed.) Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3: SSSA Book Series No. 5. Soil Science Society of America Inc., American Society of Agronomy Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp 1085–1122. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.

EGBE N.E., AYODELE E.A., OBATOLU C.R. (1989) Soils and Nutrition of Cacao, Coffee, Kola, Cashew and Tea. Progress in Tree Crops Research 2: 28–38.

ESAYAS A., DEBELE B. (2006) Soil Survey in Ethiopia: Past, present and future. In: Proceedings of the 8th Conference Soils for Sustainable Development, pp. 1–10.

FAGBAMI A. (1981) Land use in agro-industrial development in Nigeria. Proceedings National Fertilizer Seminar, Port-Harcourt. pp. 29–46.

FAN J. B., MCCONKEY WANG H., JANZEN H. (2016) Root distribution by depth for temperate agricultural crops. Field Crops Research 189: 68–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1016/j.fcr.2016.02.013.

FAO (2006) World Reference Base for soil resources. A framework for international classification, correlation and communication. World Soil Reports. No 103, FAO, Rome.

GEE G., OR D. (2002). Particle-size analysis. In: Dane J.H. Topp C. (eds.) Methods of soil analysis: Physical methods. Madison: Soil Science Society of America. p255 - 93. ISBN: 978-0-891-18893-3.

GERRARD A.J. (1981) Soils and landforms. An integration of geomorphology and pedology. London, George Allen and Unwin. pp 219. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/030913338300700312.</u>

HARTEMINK A.E. (2005) Nutrient Stocks, Nutrient Cycling and changes in Cacao Ecosystems: A review. Advances in Agronomy 86: 227–253. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(05)86005-5</u>

IBIREMO O.S., DANIEL, M.A., IREMIREN G.O., FAGBOLA O. (2011). Soil fertility evaluation for cocoa production in Southeastern Adamawa State, Nigeria. World Journal Agricultural Science 7 (2): 218–223.

IPINMOROTI R.R., OGEH J.S. (2014) Soil Nutrient Dynamics under Old and Young Cocoa, Coffee and Cashew Plantations at Uhonmora, Edo State, Nigeria. https://doi.org/10.5400/jts.2013.19.2.85.

IPINMOROTI R.R., AIKPOKPODION P.E., AKANBI O. (2009) Nutritional assessment of cocoa plots for soil fertility management on some cocoa farms in Nigeria. 16th International Cocoa conference Indonesia.

JACKSON M.L. (1973) Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall Inc, Englewood, Cliffs, NJ. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1002/jpln.19590850311.

JONES M.J., WILD A.(1975) Soils of the West African Savannah. Tech. Comm. 55, Common. Bur. Soils. CAB, Farn. Roy. U.K.

KUO S. (1996) Phosphorus. In: Sparks D.L. (ed.) Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3: SSSA Book Series No. 5. Soil Science Society of America Inc., American Society of Agronomy Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, 869 – 920. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3

LEKWA M.U., ANENE B.O., LEKWA G. (2004) Chemical and Morphological Soil Characteristics in Drainage Toposequence in Southeastern Nigeria. 316-322.

MUOGHALU J.I., ODIWE A.I. (2011) Litter Production and Decomposition in Cacao (Theobroma cacao Linn.) and Kola nut (Cola nitida) Plantations in Southwestern Nigeria. Ecotropical 17: 79–90.

OJANUGA A.G. (1975) Morphological physical and chemical characteristics of soil of Ife and Ondo areas. Journal of Soil Science 9:225–269.

OJETADE J.O., ADEGBENRO R.O., AMUSAN, A.A. (2014) Influence of Vegetation on Soil Characteristics in Ife Area, Southwestern Nigeria. Advanced Journal of Agricultural Research 2(5): 91–98. ISSN 2367-9816.

OJETADE J.O., ADEGBENRO R.O., MUDA S.A., FAWOLE O.A., ADESEMUYI E.A, AMUSAN A.A. (2021) Pedological Investigation of Benchmark Soils in the Upland area of Rainforest Southwestern Nigeria. Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad) 98(2): 101–132.

OJETADE J.O., FAWOLE O.A., MUDA S.A., FATUROTI O.M., AMUSAN A.A. (2016) Assessment of variability of soil properties under different vegetations in an ultisol in Ife area, Osun State, Nigeria. Ife Journal of Agriculture 28(1): 56–66. ISSN 0331-6351

OLAYINKA, A. (2009) Soil Microorganisms, Wastes and National Food Security. Inaugural lecture Series 222, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

OMOTOSO T.I. (1975) Amounts of nutrients removed from the soil in harvested amelonado and F3 amazon cacao during a year. Turrialba. 235:425–428.

REKWAR R.K., AHMED N. (2022) Characterization and classification of soils under different land use systems in the upper Brahmaputra valley of Assam. Annals of Plant and Soil Research 24(1): 23–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.47815/apsr.2022.10118.</u>

SEHGAL J.L., GOMBEER R., D'HOORE J. (1972) Induced Migration of clay and other moderately mobile soil constituents: mobility of sodium, potassium and silica in Indian soils subjected to perfusion with water under slightly unsaturated conditions. Pedologie XXII 3:255–283. http://worldcat.org/issn/00790419.

SMYTH A.J., MONTGOMERY R.F. (1962) Soils and land use in Central Western Nigeria. Western Nigerian Government Press, Ibadan, 217.

SOBULO R.A., ADEPETU J.A. (1987) Soil testing and fertilizer formulation crop production in Nigeria. Proceedings National Fertilizer Seminar, Port Harcourt. pp. 93–105.

SOIL SURVEY STAFF (2014) Keys to Soil Taxonomy. United State Department of Agriculture 12th Edition, Natural Resource Conservation Service, Washington, DC.

THOMAS G.W. (1996) Soil pH and soil acidity. In: Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3: SSSA Book Series No. 5. Sparks, D.L. (ed.). Soil Science Society of America Inc., American Society of Agronomy Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp 363–376. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3</u>.

USDA-SOIL SURVEY STAFF (1999) Keys to Soil Taxonomy. A basic System of Soil Classification for Making and Interpreting Soil Surveys. 2nd Edition. United States Department of Agriculture. Natural Resources Conservation Service.

UWITONZE P., MSANYA B.M., MTAKWA, P.W., UWINGABIRE S., SIRIKARE S. (2016) Pedological characterization of soils developed from volcanic parent materials of Northern Province of Rwanda. Agriculture, Forestry, Fishery 5(6):225–236. <u>https://doi.org/n10.</u> <u>11648/j.aff.20160506.13.</u>

VITOUSEK P., SANFORD R. (1986) Nutrient Cycling in moist Tropical Forest. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematic 17: 137–167. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/</u>annurev.ecolsys.17.1.137