#### DIOXIDE TITANIUM NANOPARTICLES EFFICIENCY ON REMOVING WATER HETEROTROPHIC BACTERIA POPULATION

#### Elham Asrari \*, Nader Cheraghpour

Department of Civil Engineering, Payame Noor University (PNU), Tehran, Iran<sup>\*</sup> \*Corresponding author Email: e\_asrari@pnu.ac.ir

#### Abstract

Water source pollution is increasing in recent years and use of new methods of contaminants removal is required. Nanotechnology is a suitable technique for environmental applications. Titanium dioxide is one of most commonly used nanoparticles containing interesting properties for water and wastewater treatment. Purpose of this study was determination of nanoparticles efficiency for removing of heterotrophic bacteria.Spread plate method was used for enumeration of heterotrophic bacteria. Variables of pH, nanoparticle concentration, and contact time were investigated to remove heterotrophic bacteria. pH 7, contact time 90 minutes and heterotrophic population 305 were optimum condition for maximum removal efficiency. Investigation showed also suitability of titanium dioxide nanoparticles for real water samples. It showed that TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles have good antibacterial features, so that they were able to remove heterotrophic bacteria.

**Keywords:** *heterotrophic bacteria population, TiO*<sub>2</sub>*nanoparticles, water pollution, spread plate method* 

#### **Introduction**

During last decades, more and more pollutants are disseminated into aquatic environment which is deleterious for safety of drinking water. Although common water treatment processes are able to remove some of pollutants from water, the produced disinfection by products such as trihalomethanes (THMs) are risky for human health. Nowadays, various processes have been used for removal of bioorganic pollutants in water such as physical processes (adsorption, distillation and filtration), biological processes (activated sludge), chemical processes (flocculation and chlorination), electromagnetic radiation, photocatalytic processes and etc. Nanotechnology is a rapidly growing industry and constantly extending application of nano-enabled products reach from technical, medical and research sectors to a wide range of consumer products (Ahmadi, 2008). Metal oxide nanoparticles have drawn more attention due to their antimicrobial properties and their potential utilization in wastewater treatment, surface disinfection and active food packaging. The metal oxides are included TiO<sub>2</sub>, Ag, ZnO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Among the metal oxides, Titanium dioxide is mostly investigated. Characteristics such as high stability, high temperature resistance, high surface area and low solubility make it DOI: 10.6092/issn.2281-4485/7820

as a good candidate for environmental applications (Boker and Boison, 2009). Nanoparticles damage bacterial cells through damage to protein and DNA and bacterial cell destruction (Heinlaan et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2010a). Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC) is a typical test for monitoring of microbiological water quality in distribution system. Heterotrophic bacteria use organic matter for growth and reproduction. There are reports regarding health importance and presence of damaged bacteria in drinking water and pathogenic characteristic of heterotrophic bacteria from treated and untreated drinking water. High HPC may be indication of some insufficient treatment processes especially disinfection and pollution events in distribution system. Also, HPC can be used as early sign of water quality deterioration. High HPC in water may, interfere with total coliform test by lactosebased media. Largely for this interference; a standard of 500 CFU/ml has been accepted as an operational norm (WHO, 2002a, b). Malakootiyan investigated effects of TiO<sub>2</sub>, ZnO, and CuO nanoparticles on removal of gram positive and Gram negative bacteria from urban wastewater. Results showed direct association between nanoparticle concentration and bactericidal power. Also, no nanoparticles are more efficient than  $TiO_2$  (Malakoutian and Toolabi, 2013). The performance of silver nanoparticles has been studied for disinfection drinking water in Hamedan city studied by Samadi (2013). Results indicated silver nanoparticles were able to remove water bacteriaconsiderably (Samadi, 2013). Saadat studied antibacterial activity of titanium dioxide on Pseudomona saueroginosa that results were indication of titanium nanoparticles high efficiency (Saadat, 2011). Toxicity and antibacterial properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> and CuO nanoparticles has been compared by Bina (2013). Findings showed that Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli have respectively highest and lowest sensitivity against mentioned nanoparticles (Bina, 2013). Long investigated photocatalystic disinfection mechanism of  $TiO_2$ nanoparticles on Salmonella typhi and Listeria monocytogen and found that TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle has very high influence on pathogens which grow on meat products (Ling, 2013). Zhang studied photocatalystic disinfection of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on Pseudomonas and Macrococcus caseolyticus and found that TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle influence is dependent on primary bacterial pollution, TiO<sub>2</sub> concentration and UV light intensity (Zhang, 2010). Purpose of present research included determination of Titanium dioxide nanoparticles performance for removal of drinking water heterotrophic bacteria population. Results of this study can be used for water and wastewater treatment applications.

#### **Materials and Methods**

 $R_2A$  agar medium was made according to manufacturer instructions and was sterilized in temperature  $121^\circ$ , 15 psi pressure for 15 minutes (Reasoner and Geldreich, 1985). Spread plate method was used for detection of heterotrophic bacteria. water samples was prepared synthetically in this way: Bacterial cells were collected from blood agar medium surface and were mixed into sterile phosphate buffered-salin for production of a sample containing turbidity of 0.5 McFarland and bacterial concentration equal to  $1.5 \times 10^8$ . Sample absorbance was measured in 620 nm wavelength and absorbance value was adjusted in 0.8-1 range. Five microbial concentrations were made by use of water sample dilution (APHA, 2005). Water sample containing 600 mg/L nanoparticle concentration and a given HPC, was examined in different pH in order to determine. Percentage of bacterial reduction calculated by this formula:

Reduction Percentage = 
$$[(N_0-N_1)/N_0] \ge 100$$
 [1]

where:

N<sub>0</sub>: Heterotrophic Bacteria Population in sample water;

N<sub>1</sub>: Heterotrophic Bacteria Population after reduction by nanoparticle.

# Optimum pH

The pH values 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 were chosen. Contact time was 30 minutes in a shaker with 200 rpm.Purity degree of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticle was 99 percent and particle sizes ranged from 10 to 20 nanometers. Nanoparticle concentrations of 3, 6.9,15,20,25 and 50g/lit were selected.One milliliter of water and nanoparticle suspension was cultured on  $R_2$  agar medium. Incubation was carried out and then based on reduction of colonies on medium, colony forming unit (CFU) per milliliter and removal percentage was estimated. A blank sample was also used during examinations (ISIRI, 2007).

## **Optimum concentration**

Different concentrations of nanoparticle at optimum pH and constant heterotrophic population and contact time of 30 minutes were examined for determination of most efficient nanoparticle concentration.

## **Contact time**

At optimum pH and nanoparticle concentration, different contact times (15, 30, 60, 90 and 120 min) were surveyed in order to suggest optimum contact time.

## **Different population**

Optimum pH, nanoparticle concentration and contact time were obtained; removal percentage of different heterotrophic populations was estimated.

## **Results**

## **Optimum pH determinations**

Removal percentage of heterotrophic bacteria in different pH has been illustrated in table 1. Optimum pH has been found 7.

## **Optimum nanoparticle concentration**

Efficiency of different concentrations of  $Tio_2$  for removal of heterotrophic bacteria has been shown in table 2. Because of low difference between removals efficiency DOI: 10.6092/issn.2281-4485/7820

рН	Removal (%)	<b>Table1</b> Relation between pH and Heterotrophic
3	25.0	bacteria removal.
5	29.2	(Heterotrophic population of 240
7	31.2	CFU/ml, contact time 30 minutes and nanoparticles concentration 6g/L).
9	29.2	hunopunicies concentration 05/2).
11	27.2	

of 20, and 50 mg/L nanoparticle concentrations, optimum concentration has been suggested to be 20 g/L.

Nanoparticle concentrations	Removal	
(g/lit)	(%)	
3	14.6	
6	31.2	
9	45.8	
15	70.8	
20	75.0	
25	75.0	
50	77.1	

Table2Relation between nanoparticlesconcentration and bacteria removal(Heterotrophic population of 240CFU/ml, contact time 30 minutes andpH 7).

#### **Optimum contact time**

Contact time was also varied in order to determine optimum contact time. Results have been exhibited in table 3. Lower contact time was selected as optimum contact time, because contact times of 90 and 120 minutes did not differ significantly in removal efficiency. Therefore, optimum particle concentration, pH and contact time are respectively 20 g/L, 7 and 90 minutes by end of third stage.

Contact times (min)	Removal (%)	<b>Table 3</b> Relation between contact time and HPC
15	60.4	removal.
30	75.0	(Heterotrophic population 240 CFU/ml,
60	89.6	<i>pH 7, nanoparticles concentration</i> 20g/lit)
90	97.9	20g/m)
120	97.2	

#### Optimum heterotrophic bacteria population

Some experiments were also carried out on different bacterial populations. Results showed in table 4. It was concluded that best removal efficiency was obtainable at pH 7, contact time 90 minutes and heterotrophic bacterial population 305 CFU/ml. Removal efficiency values of 95 percentages has been obtained.

#### **Real samples**

Also, five water samples from Fereydoon Shar city were taken and examined. Results have been shown in table5.

Heterotrophic	Removal	
population (CFU/ml)	(%)	
110	95.4	
210	97.6	
305	95.2	
420	91.7	
510	90.2	

Table 4Relation between Heterotrophicpopulation and bacteria removal(Contact time 30 minutes, pH 7andnanoparticles concentration 20g/lit)

Source	pН	HPC (CFU/ml)	Result (CFU/ml)	Removal (%)
Mirza Spring of Sardab	7.25	225	5	97.8
SarabSpring of Fereydoon Shahr	7.33	185	5	97.3
Spring of Chogha	7.18	1\80	5	97.2
Spring of Soroushjan	7.23	235	5	97.9
Spring of Daresib	7.51	305	10	96.7

 Table 5. Heterotrophic bacteria removal in real samples

## **Discussion and conclusions**

American drinking water standard for heterotrophic bacteria has set guideline of 500CFU/ml. Because conventional water treatment processes are able to reach admissible range of heterotrophic bacteria, nanoparticles can also be used for removal of these bacteria.

Results indicate a direct correlation between nanoparticle concentration and efficiency of heterotrophic bacteria removal. Most removal was obtained at pH 7. Also finding showed that at pH 7 and nanoparticle concentration 20g/lit with 90 minutes contact time, removal value of heterotrophic bacteria as much as 95 percent is possible. So, Tio<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were efficient for removal of heterotrophic bacteria. It likes to result of research of Saadatmand. He found that the high efficiency of E.Coli bacteria removal with concentration of 2% TiO<sub>2</sub> nano particles from real samples (Saadatmand and Yazdanshenas, 2012). Also result of Antimicrobial Efficacy of Zinc Oxide nanoparticles suspension against Gram Negative and Gram Positive Bacteria by Hossienzadeh showed that the best antibacterial remove with ZnO nanoparticles occurred on pH7.5 (Hoseinzadeh and Samarghandi 2012).

In recent years, use of nanoparticles containing new structure, advanced physical, chemical and biological properties have been increased considerably.

DOI: 10.6092/issn.2281-4485/7820

Nanoparticles can cause toxicity effects for bacteria and inhibited their growth or kill them. A few studies are available regarding antibacterial power of nanoparticles. An investigation on ceramic powder (Zn nanoparticles) showed that *Staphylococcus aureus* has lower resistance than *Escherichia coli* (Kumar, 2014). Another study on  $TiO_2$  toxicity in aquatic environments indicated that positive gram bacteria are more resistant against nanoparticles and this ability was attributed to spore formation ability and cellular structure (Rincon, 2004). Present study showed that  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles have good antibacterial features, so that they are able to remove heterotrophic bacteria efficiently provided optimum conditions of pH, contact time and nanoparticle concentration are established.

### **References**

AHMADI M. (2008) Nanotechnology in water and waste water industry. Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering, 112-120.

APHA (2005) AWWA, WEF. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 21st ed. Washington DC: American Public Health Association.

BINA B. (2013) Comparison of CuO and  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles toxicity and antibacterial properties in the solid media. Iranian Journal of Health and Environment, 6:167-176.

BOKER R., BOISON A. (2009) Nanotechnology for all. International Journal of Biomedical Science, 2(1):67-74.

HEINLAAN, M., IVASK, A., BLINOVA, I., DUBOURGUIER, H.C., KAHRU, A. (2008) Toxicity of nanosized and bulk ZnO, CuO and TiO<sub>2</sub> to bacteria *Vibrio fischeri* and crustaceans *Daphnia magna* and *Thamnocephalus platyurus*. Chemosphere, 71(7):1308-1316.

HOSEINZADEH E, SAMARGHANDI M. (2012) Antimicrobial Efficacy of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles Suspension against Gram Negative and Gram Positive Bacteria. Iranian Journal of Health and Environment, 5:331-342.

ISIRI (2007) Water quality-water sampling and microbiology measurement - Work Methods, Standard No 4208, 1st revision, Institute of Standard and Industrial Research of Iran, Tehran.

KUMAR N., OMOREGIE E.O., ROSE J., MASION A., LLOYD J.R., DIELS L., BASTIAENS L. (2014) Inhibition of sulfate reducing bacteria in aquifer sediment by iron nanoparticles. Water Research, 51:64-72.

LING T. (2013) Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>-based architectural mortar for NO removal and bacteria inactivation: Influence of coating and weathering conditions. Cement and . Concrete Composites, 36:101-108.

MALAKOUTIAN M., TOOLABI A. (2013) Study of ZnO,  $TiO_2$  & CuO nanoparticles efficiency for positive gram bacteria in water. Jornal of North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, 5(2):397-403.

REASONER D.J., GELDREICH E. (1985) A new medium for enumeration and subculture of bacteria from potable water. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 49:1-7.

RINCON A.G. (2004) Bactericidal action of illuminated  $TiO_2$  on pure Escherichia coli and natural bacterial consortia: post-irradiation events in the dark and assessment of the effective disinfection time. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 49(2):99-112.

SAADAT M. (2011) Study of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles toxicity and bactericide on Sodomounose Aeromonse, J. comparative Pathobiology of Iran, 8:497-502.

SAADATMAND M., YAZDANSHENAS M. (2012) Investigation of anti-microbial properties of chitosan-TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite and its use on sterile gauze pads. Medical Laboratory Journal, 6:59-72.

SAMADI M. (2013) Performance of silver nanoparticles for Hamedan drinking water disinfection. Journal of Shaheed Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, 18:39-40.

WHO - WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (2002a) Drinking water quality guidelines training package. WHO, Geneva, 275(5):177-182.

WHO - WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (2002b) Guidelines for recreational-water environments. Swimming pools space and similar recreational-water environments, WHO, Geneva, 39:4307-4316.

ZHANG L., JIANG Y., DING Y., DASKALAKIS N., JEUKEN L., POVEY M., O'NEILL A. J., YORK D.W. (2010) Mechanistic investigation into antibacterial behaviour of suspensions of ZnO nanoparticles against *E. coli*. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 12(5), 1625-1636. Doi: 10.1007/s11051-009-9711-1

ZHANG L. (2010) Mechanistic investigation into antibacterial behavior of suspensions of ZnO nanoparticles against *E. coli*. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 12(5):1625-1636.